

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/030,658	YAMAMURA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Joseph T. Voitach	1633	

All Participants:

 (1) Joseph T. Voitach.

 (2) Tom Seitman.
Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 22 August 2007
Time: 1:15
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

See Continuation Sheet

Claims discussed:

12

Prior art documents discussed:

none
Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature) _____

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate) _____

Continuation of rejections discussed: Applicant contacted the supervisor regarding the status of the application. It was noted that the Examiner was out of the office this week, however upon review it looked like most issues were dealt with during prosecution. It was noted that claim 12 reasonably read on an ES without the vector, and it was suggested that the claim be amended to include language of 'comprising the vectro of claims..' to more clearly distinguish the claimed cell..